

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/062,483	KU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vickie Kim	1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Vickie Kim. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Nuell, Mark. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A Certified copy of the priority document is requested. Although acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority document, applicants fail to submit the certified copy of the priority document which is required for validate the priority date to comply 35 USC 119(a)-(d). Since previous office action summary had been inadvertently marked in wrong section(see paper no. 8, section #13), the office action summary is updated with the correction and faxed to applicant per applicant's record. Applicant's statement indicated that applicant will file the certified copy which has not been carried out yet(see transmittal sheet filed 2/5/02). Applicant is requested to file the certified copy to validate earlier filing date of priority document .